SAT-aided Automatic Search of Boomerang Distinguishers for ARX Ciphers

Dachao Wang ¹ Baocang Wang ¹ Siwei Sun2,3

¹State Key Laboratory of Integrated Services Networks, Xidian University, Xi'an, China ²School of Cryptology, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China ³State Key Laboratory of Cryptology, P.O. Box 5159, Beijing, China

FSE 2023, March 21, 2023

Background: Boomerang Distinguishers

Under an independence assumption, these two differential The whole cipher is seperated into two parts.
Under an independence assumption, these two differential
characteristics can be connected.

Background: Boomerang Distinguishers

To analysis the connectivity of two characteristics, new A new part is seperated out.
To analysis the connectivity of two characteristics, ne
cryptanalysis is put on the middle part.

Typically, cryptanalysis on E_m is reduced to that on the non-linear operations.

Background: Boomerang Tables

Boomerang Connectivity Table (BCT)

 $\mathrm{BCT}(\Delta,\nabla)=\#\left\{x\in\mathbb{F}_2^n\mid S^{-1}(S(x)\oplus\nabla)\oplus S^{-1}(S(x\oplus\Delta)\oplus\nabla)=\Delta\right\}.$

Variants of BCT [DDV20]

$$
\begin{aligned} \text{UBCT}(\Delta, \Delta', \nabla) &= \#\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \begin{aligned} &S(x) \oplus S(x \oplus \Delta) = \Delta' \\ &S^{-1}(S(x) \oplus \nabla) \oplus S^{-1}(S(x \oplus \Delta) \oplus \nabla) = \Delta \end{aligned} \right\} \\ \text{LBCT}(\Delta, \nabla', \nabla) &= \#\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid \begin{aligned} &S(x) \oplus S(x \oplus \nabla') = \nabla \\ &S^{-1}(S(x) \oplus \nabla) \oplus S^{-1}(S(x \oplus \Delta) \oplus \nabla) = \Delta \end{aligned} \right. \\ \text{EBCT}(\Delta, \Delta', \nabla', \nabla) &= \#\left\{x \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \mid S(x) \oplus S(x \oplus \Delta') = \Delta' \\ &S^{-1}(S(x) \oplus \nabla) \oplus S^{-1}(S(x \oplus \Delta) \oplus \nabla) = \Delta \right\} \end{aligned}
$$

Motivation

The previous result was given by Cid *et al.*.

In fact, the result can be derived from BCT on S-boxes. View the modular addition as a special S-box:

 $S(L||R) = (L+R)||R$

 $\operatorname{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ $\mathcal{L}=\;\#\bigg\{(L,R)\in\mathbb{F}_2^n\times\mathbb{F}_2^n\;|\;\Big(\big((L\boxplus_nR)\oplus\nabla_l\big)\boxminus_n\left(R\oplus\nabla_r\right)\Big)\oplus\bigg(\Big(\big((L\oplus\Delta_l)\boxplus_n\left(R\oplus\Delta_r\right)\big)\oplus\nabla_l\bigg)\boxminus_n\left(R\oplus\Delta_r\oplus\nabla_r\right)\bigg)=\Delta_l\bigg\}$

Motivation

The BCT is too large!

Is there a fast method to compute one entry?

Can we construct SAT/MILP models for it?

Any new results on ARX ciphers?

 $\operatorname{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ $\mathcal{L}=\#\bigg\{(L,R)\in\mathbb{F}_2^n\times\mathbb{F}_2^n\mid \Big(\big((L\boxplus_nR)\oplus\nabla_l\big)\boxminus_n\left(R\oplus\nabla_r\right)\Big)\oplus\bigg(\Big(\big((L\oplus\Delta_l)\boxplus_n\left(R\oplus\Delta_r\right)\big)\oplus\nabla_l\bigg)\boxminus_n\left(R\oplus\Delta_r\oplus\nabla_r\right)\bigg)=\Delta_l\bigg\}$

Our Contributions

- **1** Design a dynamic programming algorithm to compute the BCT and its variants of the modular addition.
- **2** Construct SAT models for these tables.
- **3** Take Speck and LEA as examples, and improve the previous results.

Relations between modular addition (subtraction) and XOR

$$
x\boxplus_n y=x\oplus y\oplus{\rm carry} 0_n(x,y)
$$

$$
x\boxminus_n y=x\boxplus_n \bar{y}\boxplus_n 1=x\oplus \bar{y}\oplus \text{carry1}_n(x,\bar{y})
$$

Function $c = \text{carry0}_n(x, y)$

$$
c[i]=\left\{\begin{aligned}0&i=0\\ (x[i-1]\wedge y[i-1])\oplus (x[i-1]\wedge c[i-1])\oplus (y[i-1]\wedge c[i-1])&i>0\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Function $b = \text{carry1}_n(x, y)$

$$
b[i]=\left\{\begin{matrix}1&&i=0\\(x[i-1]\wedge y[i-1])\oplus (x[i-1]\wedge b[i-1])\oplus (y[i-1]\wedge b[i-1])&i>0\end{matrix}\right.
$$

Compute BCT_n

 $\operatorname{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ $\mathcal{L}=\;\#\bigg\{(L,R)\in\mathbb{F}_2^n\times\mathbb{F}_2^n\;|\;\Big(\big((L\boxplus_nR)\oplus\nabla_l\big)\boxminus_n\,(R\oplus\nabla_r)\Big)\oplus\bigg(\Big(\big((L\oplus\Delta_l)\boxplus_n(R\oplus\Delta_r)\big)\oplus\nabla_l\Big)\boxminus_n\,(R\oplus\Delta_r\oplus\nabla_r)\bigg)=\Delta_l\bigg\}$ $c_1 = \text{carry0}_n(L, R)$ $b_1 = \operatorname{carry1}_n(L \oplus R \oplus c_1 \oplus \nabla_l, R \oplus \nabla_r)$ $c_2 = \text{carry0}_n(L \oplus \Delta_l, R \oplus \Delta_r)$ $b_2 = \operatorname{carry1}_n(L \oplus \Delta_l \oplus R \oplus \Delta_r \oplus c_2 \oplus \nabla_l, R \oplus \Delta_r \oplus \nabla_r)$ $\operatorname{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ $\begin{split} \mathcal{L} \ = \ \#\Big\{ (L,R) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \ | \ \forall i \in [0,n-1], c_1[i] \oplus b_1[i] \oplus c_2[i] \oplus b_2[i] = 0 \Big\}, \end{split}$

$$
\text{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r) = \#\Big\{(L, R) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \ | \ \forall i \in [0, n-1], c_1[i] \oplus b_1[i] \oplus c_2[i] \oplus b_2[i] = 0 \Big\}
$$

Every equation $c_1[i] \oplus b_1[i] \oplus c_2[i] \oplus b_2[i] = 0$ is a restriction on $L[i-1]$ and $R[i-1]$. What about $L[n-1]$ and $R[n-1]$? FREE!

The four bit string $c_1[i] ||b_1[i] ||c_2[i] ||b_2[i]$ can only take **8** possible values: $S_{\text{BCT}} = \{0000, 0011, 0101, 0110, 1001, 1010, 1100, 1111\}$

$$
\text{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r) = \#\Big\{(L, R) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \ | \ \forall i \in [0, n-1], c_1[i] \oplus b_1[i] \oplus c_2[i] \oplus b_2[i] = 0 \Big\}
$$

$$
\text{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r) \longrightarrow L[n-1] = 0, R[n-1] = 0, c_1[n-1] \parallel b_1[n-1] \parallel c_2[n-1] \parallel b_2[n-1] = 0000
$$
\n
$$
L[n-1] = 0, R[n-1] = 0, c_1[n-1] \parallel b_1[n-1] \parallel c_2[n-1] \parallel b_2[n-1] = 0011
$$
\n
$$
\cdots
$$
\n
$$
L[n-1] = 0, R[n-1] = 1, c_1[n-1] \parallel b_1[n-1] \parallel c_2[n-1] \parallel b_2[n-1] = 0000
$$
\n
$$
\cdots
$$
\n
$$
L[n-1] = 1, R[n-1] = 1, c_1[n-1] \parallel b_1[n-1] \parallel c_2[n-1] \parallel b_2[n-1] = 1111
$$

$$
\begin{aligned} \mathrm{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r) &= \# \Big\{ (L,R) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \times \mathbb{F}_2^n \ | \ \forall i \in [0,n-1], c_1[i] \oplus b_1[i] \oplus c_2[i] \oplus b_2[i] = 0 \Big\} \\ &= 4 \times \sum_{v \in S_\mathrm{BCT}} \# \left\{ \begin{matrix} (L^{n-1}, R^{n-1}) & c_1[n-1] \|b_1[n-1]\| c_2[n-1] \|b_2[n-1] = v \\ \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1} \times \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1} \end{matrix} \middle| \ \forall j \in [0,n-2], c_1[j] \oplus b_1[j] \oplus c_2[j] \oplus b_2[j] = 0 \right\} \\ &= 4 \times \sum_{v \in S_\mathrm{BCT}} f(n-1,v) \end{aligned}
$$

NEW GOAL: how to compute function $f(n-1, v)$?

$$
f(n-1,v)=\#\left\{(L^{n-1},R^{n-1})\in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}\times \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}\mid \begin{matrix}c_1[n-1]\|b_1[n-1]\|c_2[n-1]\|b_2[n-1]=v\\ \forall j\in [0,n-2],c_1[j]\oplus b_1[j]\oplus c_2[j]\oplus b_2[j]=0\end{matrix}\right\}
$$

$$
f(n-1, v)
$$
\n
$$
L[n-2] = 0, R[n-2] = 0, c_1[n-2] \t||b_1[n-2]||c_2[n-2]||b_2[n-2] = 0000
$$
\n
$$
L[n-2] = 0, R[n-2] = 0, c_1[n-2] \t||b_1[n-2]||c_2[n-2]||b_2[n-2] = 0011
$$
\n
$$
L[n-2] = 0, R[n-2] = 1, c_1[n-2] \t||b_1[n-2]||c_2[n-2]||b_2[n-2] = 0000
$$
\n
$$
L[n-2] = 1, R[n-2] = 1, c_1[n-2] \t||b_1[n-2]||c_2[n-2]||b_2[n-2] = 1111
$$

$$
f(n-1,v)=\#\left\{(L^{n-1},R^{n-1})\in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}\times \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}\mid \begin{matrix} c_1[n-1]\|b_1[n-1]\|c_2[n-1]\|b_2[n-1]=v\\ \forall j\in [0,n-2],c_1[j]\oplus b_1[j]\oplus c_2[j]\oplus b_2[j]=0\end{matrix}\right\}
$$

$$
f(n-1, v)
$$
\n
$$
L[n-2] = 0, R[n-2] = 0, c_1[n-2] \|b_1[n-2]\|c_2[n-2]\|b_2[n-2] = 0000
$$
\n
$$
L[n-2] = 0, R[n-2] = 0, c_1[n-2] \|b_1[n-2]\|c_2[n-2]\|b_2[n-2] = 0011
$$
\n...\n
$$
L[n-2] = 0, R[n-2] = 1, c_1[n-2] \|b_1[n-2]\|c_2[n-2]\|b_2[n-2] = 0000
$$
\n...\n
$$
L[n-2] = 1, R[n-2] = 1, c_1[n-2] \|b_1[n-2]\|c_2[n-2]\|b_2[n-2] = 1111
$$

$g(u,v,L[i],R[i],\Delta_l[i],\Delta_r[i],\nabla_l[i],\nabla_r[i])$

Denote whether the chosen values of $L[n-2]$ and $R[n-2]$ are valid.

$$
f(n-1,v)=\#\left\{(L^{n-1},R^{n-1})\in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}\times \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}\mid \begin{matrix}c_1[n-1]\|b_1[n-1]\|c_2[n-1]\|b_2[n-1]=v\\ \forall j\in [0,n-2],c_1[j]\oplus b_1[j]\oplus c_2[j]\oplus b_2[j]=0\end{matrix}\right\}
$$

$$
=\;\sum_{u\in S_{\rm BCT}}\sum_{L[n-2],R[n-2]\in {\mathbb F}_2}g(u,v,L[n-1],R[n-2],\Delta_l[n-2],\Delta_r[n-2],\nabla_l[n-2],\nabla_r[n-2])f(n-2,u)
$$

$$
=\;\; \sum_{u \in S_{\mathrm{BCT}}}f(n-2,u) \sum_{\substack{L[n-2], R[n-2] \in \mathbb{F}_2}} g(u,v,L[n-2],R[n-2],\Delta_r[n-2],\nabla_l[n-2],\nabla_r[n-2])
$$

$$
=\sum_{u\in S_{\text{BCT}}} \!\!\! \frac{T(u,v,\Delta_l[n-2],\Delta_r[n-2],\nabla_l[n-2],\nabla_r[n-2])f(n-2,u)}\,
$$

Recursive definition again!

Theorem 2

Let
$$
S'_{\text{BCT}} = \{0000, 0011, 0101, 0110\}
$$
. For $u, v \in S'_{\text{BCT}}$, denote $f'(i, v) = f(i, v) + f(i, \overline{v})$ and
\n
$$
T'(u, v, \Delta_{l}[i], \Delta_{r}[i], \nabla_{l}[i], \nabla_{r}[i])
$$
\n
$$
= T(u, v, \Delta_{l}[i], \Delta_{r}[i], \nabla_{l}[i], \nabla_{r}[i]) + T(u, v, \Delta_{l}[i], \Delta_{r}[i], \nabla_{l}[i], \nabla_{r}[i])
$$
\nThen
\n
$$
f'(i+1, v) = \sum_{u \in S'_{\text{BCT}}} T'(u, v, \Delta_{l}[i], \Delta_{r}[i], \nabla_{l}[i], \nabla_{r}[i]) f'(i, u)
$$

Algorithm 1 A dynamic programming algorithm to compute f .

1: **procedure** $DP(n, v, \Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ \triangleright The value of $f(n, v)$ where $v \in S_{BCT}$ Initialize a hash table T_{dp} such that, for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$, $T_{dp}[u] = 0$ except that $2:$ $T_{dp}[0101] = 1;$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ do $3:$ Initialize a hash table T'_{dp} such that $T'_{dp}[u] = 0$ for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$; $4:$ for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$ do $5:$ for all $u' \in S_{\text{BCT}}$ do $6:$ Look up the value $T(u', u, \Delta_l[i], \Delta_r[i], \nabla_l[i], \nabla_r[i])$. Assume that it is t; $7:$ Look up the value $I(u', u, \Delta_l[u])$
 $T_{dp} \leftarrow T'_{dp};$
 $T_{dp} \leftarrow T'_{dp};$ 8: 9: return $T_{dp}[v]$; $10:$

$$
f'(n,v)=\sum_{u\in S'_{\text{BCT}}}T'(u,v,\Delta_l[n-1],\Delta_r[n-1],\nabla_l[n-1],\nabla_r[n-1])f'(n-1,u)\\ \text{Booleanize (whether $f'(n,v)$ is non-zero or not)}\\ f'_b(n,v)=\max_{u\in S'_{\text{BCT}}}T'_b(u,v,\Delta_l[n-1],\Delta_r[n-1],\nabla_l[n-1],\nabla_r[n-1])f'_b(n-1,u)
$$

Algorithm 3 A dynamic programming algorithm to check an entry in BCT.

1: procedure ISZERO $(n, \Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ Initialize a hash table T_{dp} such that, for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$, $T_{dp}[u] = False$ except that $2:$ $T_{dp}[0101] = True;$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ do $3:$ Initialize a hash table T'_{dp} such that $T'_{dp}[u] = False$ for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$; $4:$ for all $u \in S'_{\text{RCT}}$ do 5: for all $u' \in S'_{\text{BCT}}$ do 6: Look up the value $T'_b(u', u, \Delta_l[i], \Delta_r[i], \nabla_l[i], \nabla_r[i])$. Assume that it is t; $7:$ $T_{dp} \leftarrow \frac{T'_{dp}[u] \leftarrow T'_{dp}[u] \vee (t \wedge T_{dp}[u']);$
 $T_{dp} \leftarrow T'_{dp};$ 8: $9:$ $sum \leftarrow False$ $10:$ check whether the BCT entry is non-zero or not.for all $u \in S'_{\text{BCT}}$ do $11:$ $sum \leftarrow sum \vee T_{dp}[u];$ $12:$ **return sum:** \triangleright sum is True if the entry is non-zero and False if it is zero. $13:$

$$
f'_b(n,v)=\max_{u\in S'_{\text{BCT}}}T'_b(u,v,\Delta_l[n-1],\Delta_r[n-1],\nabla_l[n-1],\nabla_r[n-1])f'_b(n-1,u)
$$

If, for all $u \in S'_{\text{BCT}}$, we have $T'(u, u, \Delta_i[i-1], \Delta_r[i-1], \nabla_i[i-1], \nabla_r[i-1]) \neq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{v \in S'_{\text{BCT}}} f'(i,v) = 4 \times \sum_{u \in S'_{\text{BCT}}} f'(i-1,u)
$$

Note that:
$$
\mathrm{BCT}_n(\Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r) = 4 \times \sum_{v \in S'_{\mathrm{BCT}}} f'(n-1, v).
$$

If, for all $u \in S'_{BCT}$, we have $T'(u, u, \Delta_i[i-1], \Delta_r[i-1], \nabla_i[i-1], \nabla_r[i-1]) \neq 0$, then

$$
\sum_{e{S'_{\rm BCT}}} f'(i,v) = 4\times \sum_{u\in {S'_{\rm BCT}}} f'(i-1,u)
$$

A Heuristic Trick (Partial BCT)

If k out of $n-1$ these equations are required to be satisfied, the resulting BCT entry would be $4^{k+1}q$. That is, just express k restrictions

"for all $u \in S_{\rm RCT}$, $T'(u, u, \Delta_i[i-1], \Delta_i[i-1], \nabla_i[i-1], \nabla_i[i-1]) \neq 0$ " "

as boolean expressions.

A Heuristic Trick (Partial BCT)

If k out of $n-1$ these equations are required to be satisfied, the resulting BCT entry would be $4^{k+1}q$. That is, just express k restrictions

"for all $u \in S'_{BCT}$, $T'(u, u, \Delta_i[i-1], \Delta_r[i-1], \nabla_i[i-1], \nabla_r[i-1]) \neq 0$ " "

as boolean expressions.

A Heuristic Trick (Partial BCT)

If k out of $n-1$ these equations are required to be satisfied, the resulting BCT entry would be $4^{k+1}q$. That is, just express k restrictions

$$
\textrm{``for all}~~u\in S'_\textrm{BCT},~T'(u,u,\Delta_l[i-1],\Delta_r[i-1],\nabla_l[i-1],\nabla_r[i-1])\neq 0\,\textrm{``}
$$

as boolean expressions.

- Fact: 1 Currently, we lack enough knowledge about 9.
	- **2** The resulting partial BCT still contains values with $q < 1$.
	- **3** Our experiments showed that the models always return BCT entries with values around 4^{k+1} .

Modelling: The Complete Model

Algorithm 3 A dynamic programming algorithm to check an entry in BCT.

1: procedure ISZERO $(n, \Delta_l, \Delta_r, \nabla_l, \nabla_r)$ Initialize a hash table T_{dp} such that, for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$, $T_{dp}[u] = False$ except that $2:$ $T_{dp}[0101] = True;$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, 2, ..., n-1\}$ do $3:$ Initialize a hash table T'_{dp} such that $T'_{dp}[u] = False$ for all $u \in S_{\text{BCT}}$; $4:$ for all $u \in S'_{\text{RCT}}$ do 5: for all $u' \in S'_{\text{BCT}}$ do 6: Look up the value $T'_b(u', u, \Delta_l[i], \Delta_r[i], \nabla_l[i], \nabla_r[i])$. Assume that it is t; 7: $T_{dp} \leftarrow T'_{dp}[u] \leftarrow T'_{dp}[u] \vee (t \wedge T_{dp}[u'])$
 $T_{dp} \leftarrow T'_{dp};$ 8: $9:$ $sum \leftarrow False$ 10 for all $u \in S'_{\text{BCT}}$ do $\begin{array}{cc} 1 & (1) \ \forall u \in S'_{\text{BCT}}, T'_{dp}[u] = \bigvee_{u' \in S'} & (T'_b(u', u, \Delta_l[i], \Delta_r[i], \nabla_l[i], \nabla_r[i]) \wedge T_{dp}[u']) \end{array}$ $11.$ $u'{\in}S'_{\scriptscriptstyle\rm BCT}$ $sum \leftarrow sum \vee T_{dp}[u];$ 12_i $13₁$ **return sum:** \triangleright sum is True if the entry is non-zero and False if it is zero. \rightarrow (2) $f'_b(n-2,0000) \vee f'_b(n-2,0011) \vee f'_b(n-2,0101) \vee f'_b(n-2,0110) = True$

Modelling: The Complete Model

A Heuristic Trick (Partial BCT)

If k out of $n-1$ these equations are required to be satisfied, the resulting BCT entry would be $4^{k+1}q$. That is, just express k restrictions

"for all $u\in S_{\rm BCT}^{\prime}$, $T^{\prime}(u,u,\Delta_l[i-1],\Delta_r[i-1],\nabla_l[i-1],\nabla_r[i-1])\neq 0$ " $\begin{array}{|l|}\hline \rule{0pt}{14pt}\quad \text{or} \end{array}$

Modelling: The Complete Model

Framework 1

- **1** Set the product of the probabilities of two differential characteristics as the objective function and maximize it.
- **2** Compute the probability of the switch and obtain the total probability.
- **3** Tweak the threshold and repeat step 1 and step 2 until a desired boomerang distinguisher is found.

It is fast but may miss better characteristics.

Suitable for long characteristics or complicated switches.

Framework 2

- **1** Set the product of the probabilities of two differential characteristics as the objective function. Set the threshold to 0. Then, maximize it. This step returns the upper bound of the probability of boomerang distinguishers.
- **2** Set the threshold and the upper bound of the probability and enumerate all the charateristics. Take the largest cluster and enumerate all possible characteristics in it. Compute the probability of the cluster and return.
- **3** Tweak the threshold and upper bound. Repeat step 2 until a desired boomerang distinguisher is found.

It is slow but can take the advantage of clustering effect.

Suitable for short characteristics or simple switches.

The Results

Cipher	Rounds		$-\log_2$ Prob.	Input difference EST. EXP. of E_0 (hex)	Output difference of E_1 (hex)
SPECK32/64	10	29.15 29.17 29.78	27.34 27.39 28.43	2800 0010 $0014 \parallel 0800$ 2800 0010	8102 8108 8000 840a 0040 0542
SPECK48/72	12	44.15 46.41 47.93	$\overline{}$ \pm	020082 120200 820200 001202 820200 001202	0080a0 2085a4 800084 8400a0 008400 00a084

Table 5: The Estimated Probabilities of the Switch in ${\rm LEA}$

- **1** The dynamic programming algorithm and ARXtools are based on the same property of modular additions, but they are constructed from two different perspectives.
- **2** From our perspective, more mathmatical properties behind the modular addition are revealed.
- **3** When estimating probabilities, ARXtools is faster and more precise.
- **4** Our technique is capable of searching characteristics, while ARXtools cannot.

- **1** A dynamic programming algorithm to compute the BCT entries of the modular addition. **1** A dynamic programming algorithm to compute th
modular addition.
2 SAT models for partial BCT, LBCT, UBCT, and
3 New results on Speck and LEA.
	- **2** SAT models for partial BCT, LBCT, UBCT, and EBCT.
	-

- **1** The current computations of BCT and its variants are not convenient to be modelled. **1** The current computations of BCT and its variants are modelled.

2 The models for switches are large.

3 Optimal distinguishers are still unknown.
	- **2** The models for switches are large.
	-
	- **4** Comparing with ARXtools, our computation of probabilities are slow.

All the codes are publicly available at https://github.com/0NG/boomerang search

Thank you very much for your attention!