## SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes Oriented to Differential Probabilities and Linear Correlations

### **Ling Sun** and Meiqin $Wang(\Box)$

{lingsun, mqwang}@sdu.edu.cn

Shandong University, Jinan & Qingdao, China FSE 2023 @ March, 2023



Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes

2023.03

1/27



## Outline

#### Motivation & Contributions.

- MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes.
- SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes.
- Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes.
- New Findings with the New SAT Models.
- Conclusion.

## Motivation & Contributions



#### Motivation

Automatic methods for differential and linear characteristic search are well-established.

- ▶ Mixed-integer linear programming (MILP).
- ▶ Boolean satisfiability problem or satisfiability modulo theories (SAT/SMT).
- Searching for actual differential & linear characteristics for large S-boxes is not conclusive.

Ø How to efficiently create SAT models of large S-boxes?

## Motivation & Contributions



#### Motivation

- Automatic methods for differential and linear characteristic search are well-established.
  - Mixed-integer linear programming (MILP).
  - ▶ Boolean satisfiability problem or satisfiability modulo theories (SAT/SMT).
- Searching for actual differential & linear characteristics for large S-boxes is not conclusive.

Ø How to efficiently create SAT models of large S-boxes?

#### Contributions

- Three strategies are proposed.
  - ① Utilising the option of the ESPRESSO logic minimizer.
  - 2 Dividing the description of a large S-box into two steps.
  - ③ Simplifying by partitioning method.
- Upper bound on the differential probability for 14 rounds of SKINNY-128 is determined.
- Related-key differential properties of both versions of PIPO are investigated.
- Seven AES-based constructions C1 C7 are analysed.



## Outline

- Motivation & Contributions.
- MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes.
- SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes.
- Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes.
- New Findings with the New SAT Models.
- Conclusion.



## MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes



Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes



Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes

2023.03

6/27



Ling Sun and Meigin Wang (Shandong University)

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes



Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

## MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes



- Minimising the number of inequalities does not always reduce the runtime of the MILP optimiser.
- Many subsequent studies continue to seek a breakthrough on the number of inequalities.
- Improved MILP models for the S-boxes of AES and SKINNY-128 were not employed to analyse the differential characteristics of these ciphers.
- This problem is of theoretical importance in its own right.

1.4



## Outline

- Motivation & Contributions.
- MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes.
- SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes.
- Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes.
- New Findings with the New SAT Models.
- Conclusion.









## SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes



#### Modeling Oriented to Differential Probabilities and Linear Correlations

- Additional auxiliary variables are required to encode probability information.
- The weight of a possible propagation is  $-\log_2(p)$  and can take on non-integer values.
  - ▶ Integral portion:  $\mu$  variables  $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{\mu-1}) \triangleq u$ .
  - ▶ Decimal portion:  $\nu$  variables  $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{\nu-1}) \triangleq v$ .

## SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes



#### Modeling Oriented to Differential Probabilities and Linear Correlations

- Additional auxiliary variables are required to encode probability information.
- The weight of a possible propagation is  $-\log_2(p)$  and can take on non-integer values.
  - ▶ Integral portion:  $\mu$  variables  $(u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_{\mu-1}) \triangleq u$ .
  - ▶ Decimal portion:  $\nu$  variables  $(v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{\nu-1}) \triangleq v$ .
- Consider a 4-bit S-box whose DDT contains the values 0, 2, 4, 6, and 16.
  - The set of probability for all feasible differential propagations is  $\{2^{-3}, 2^{-2}, 2^{-1.415}, 1\}$ .
  - ▶  $(u_0, u_1, u_2) \triangleq u$  to represent the integral portion and  $v_0$  to represent the decimal portion.
  - $\blacktriangleright$  The optional set of possible values for  $oldsymbol{x} \| oldsymbol{y} \| oldsymbol{u} \| v_0$  is

 $\begin{cases} \boldsymbol{x} \| \boldsymbol{y} \| \boldsymbol{u} \| \boldsymbol{v}_0 \\ \boldsymbol{u} \| \boldsymbol{v}_0 = \begin{cases} \boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathbb{F}_2^4, \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_2^3, \boldsymbol{v}_0 \in \mathbb{F}_2, \boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{y} \text{ is a possible propagation} \\ 1 \| 1 \| 1 \| 0, \quad \text{if } \Pr(\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{y}) = 2^{-3} \\ 0 \| 1 \| 1 \| 1 \| 0, \quad \text{if } \Pr(\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{y}) = 2^{-2} \\ 0 \| 0 \| 1 \| 1, \quad \text{if } \Pr(\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{y}) = 2^{-1.415} \\ 0 \| 0 \| 0 \| 0, \quad \text{if } \Pr(\boldsymbol{x} \to \boldsymbol{y}) = 1 \end{cases}$ 

• The vector in the set confirms  $u_0 + u_1 + u_2 + 0.415 \cdot v_0 = -\log_2(p)$ .

The SAT model for differential probabilities can be derived by simplifying the canonical POS form.



## Outline

- Motivation & Contributions.
- MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes.
- SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes.
- Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes.
- New Findings with the New SAT Models.
- Conclusion.



#### Model 1: Trade-off Between Level of Simplification and Time

- **ESPRESSO** provides multiple options and commands for minimisation.
- These create a trade-off between simplification level and execution time.



#### Model 1: Trade-off Between Level of Simplification and Time

- ESPRESSO provides multiple options and commands for minimisation.
- These create a trade-off between simplification level and execution time.
- Listed below are several options that may reduce the runtime.
  - -efast This option stops ESPRESSO after the first [Expand] and [Irredundant Cover] operations and prevents it from iterating over the solution.
  - -eness With this setting, essential prime implicants will not be identified.
  - -enirr With this option, the result will not necessarily be made irredundant in the last step which removes redundant literals.
  - -eonset This option recalculates the support of the input function prior to minimisation, which is advantageous when the canonical POS form includes a large number of maxterms.
- **ESPRESSO** is used to conduct the simplification of  $f_{(8,8,10)}$  with the four options.
- The simplification is only accomplished with the option -eonset.
- There are 820 clauses in the output, and the total execution time is 3521.42 seconds.

## SHANDONG UNIVERSITY

## Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes

#### Model 2: Two-Step Encoding Method

- The complexity of simplification increases exponentially with the number of input variables.
- The main idea is dividing the encoding phase for an *n*-bit S-box into two steps.

#### Model 2: Two-Step Encoding Method

- The complexity of simplification increases exponentially with the number of input variables.
- The main idea is dividing the encoding phase for an *n*-bit S-box into two steps.
- In addition to the auxiliary variables u and v, we claim a set of *chaining variables* z.
- 8-bit S-box S<sub>8</sub> of SKINNY-128 [Beierle *et al.* @ CRYPTO 2016]

$[2^{-7}, 2^{-6}, 2^{-5.415}, 2^{-5.415}]$	$2^{-5}, 2^{-4.415}, 2^{-4}$	$, 2^{-3.678}, 2^{-3}$	$3.415, 2^{-3.193}, 2^{-3}, $	$2^{-2.678}, 2^{-2.415}, 2^{-2}, 1\}.$
$\begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 8 \text{ variables for the} \end{bmatrix}$	$x_4$ $x_5$ $x_6$ $x_7$ $y_0$ $y_1$ $y_2$ $y_2$ $y_1$ $y_2$ $y_2$ $y_1$ $y_2$ $y_2$ $y_3$ $y_4$ $y_2$ $y_4$ $y_2$ $y_4$ $y_4$ $y_2$ $y_4$	13 U4 U5 U6 U7 U0 the output difference	u1         u2         u3         u4         u5         u6         v0         v1           7 variables for integral         3 variables for         3 variables for	26-bit Boolean function r decimal
$\begin{array}{c} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 & x_4 & x_5 & x_6 & x_7 \\ 8 \text{ variables for the input difference} \end{array}$	20-bit Boolean function 10 1/1 1/2 1/3 1/4 1/5 1/6 1/7 8 variables for the output difference	$\begin{bmatrix} z_0 & z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{bmatrix}$ 4 chaining variables	$\frac{z_0}{4} \frac{z_1}{2} \frac{z_3}{2}$	14-bit Boolean function u <sub>0</sub> u <sub>1</sub> u <sub>2</sub> u <sub>3</sub> u <sub>4</sub> u <sub>5</sub> u <sub>6</sub> u <sub>6</sub> v <sub>1</sub> v <sub>2</sub> 7 variables for integral 3 variables for decimal
Step 1 $\mathcal{F}_{(0,s,0)}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} x \ y\ _{z} & x \neq 0 \\ x \ y\ _{z} & x = 0 \\ x = 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0$	$\begin{split} \mathbb{P}_{2,x}^{2} &\in \mathbb{P}_{2,x}^{4} \rightarrow y \text{ is a possible propage} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-7} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (0),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-6} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (0),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-6} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-6} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-4} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-3} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-3} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-3} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-3} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-3} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1),  (1  \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c} \mathbb{P}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c} \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c} \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c} \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow 2^{-2} \\ \mathbb{I}_{1}^{1} \  (1)  \mathbb{I}_{1}^{c}$	<pre> }.</pre>	Step 2 $\mathcal{F}_{(4,50)}^{(0)} = \left\{ z \  u \  v  u \right\}$	$ \left\{ \mathbf{v}_{1}^{0}, \mathbf{w} \in \mathbb{F}_{1}^{0}, \mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{0} \\ & \qquad 1 \\ \left\{ \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 $

Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes

#### Model 2: Two-Step Encoding Method

- The complexity of simplification increases exponentially with the number of input variables.
- The main idea is dividing the encoding phase for an *n*-bit S-box into two steps.
- In addition to the auxiliary variables u and v, we claim a set of *chaining variables* z.
- 8-bit S-box S<sub>8</sub> of SKINNY-128 [Beierle *et al.* @ CRYPTO 2016]

$\{2^{-7}, 2^{-6}, 2^{-5.415}, 2^{-5},$	$^{-4.415}, 2^{-4}, 2$	$^{-3.678}, 2^{-3}$	$^{3.415}, 2^{-3.193}, 2$	$2^{-3}, 2^{-2.67}$	$^{8}, 2^{-2.415}, 2^{-1}$	$^{2},1\}.$
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	27 90 91 92 93 94 ce 8 variables for the or	4 y <sub>5</sub> y <sub>6</sub> y <sub>7</sub> uc utput difference	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	vo v1 v2 26-l ariables for decimal	bit Boolean function	
20-bit Bool	ean function			14-bit Bo	olean function	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$y_3$ $y_4$ $y_5$ $y_6$ $y_7$ $z_6$ the output difference 4 c	b z <sub>1</sub> z <sub>2</sub> z <sub>3</sub> chaining variables	$\frac{z_0}{4}$ chaining var	Tiables 7 variables $\frac{u_0}{1}$	$u_3$ $u_4$ $u_5$ $u_6$ $u_6$ $v_1$ les for integral 3 variables f	or decimal
$\mathcal{F}_{(a,s,4)}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} w_{i,y} \in \mathbb{F}_{j,z}^{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{j,z}^{n} \in \mathbb{F}_{j,z}^{n} = \\ 1 10 1, i \in \mathbb{F}_{1 10 1, i}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{F}_{1 10 1, i}^{($	$\begin{array}{l} y \ \text{is a possible propagation} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-2} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-4.15} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-5.45} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-5.45} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-4.15} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-4.15} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-3.073} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 2^{-2.415} \\ (x \rightarrow y) = 1 \end{array}$	}.	$\mathcal{F}_{(1,10)}^{(2)} = \begin{cases} z \end{bmatrix}$	$\ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{d},  \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{d},  \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{d},  \ \boldsymbol{u} \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{d},  \ \boldsymbol{u} = \mathbb{F}_{2}^{d},  \ \boldsymbol{u}$	$\begin{split} (e \ B^{0}_{1}) \\ (e \ B^{0}_{1}) \\ (1)11100010, & \text{if} \ x = 111001\\ (1)11100010, & \text{if} \ x = 110100\\ (1)11100010, & \text{if} \ x = 100110\\ (1)11100010, & \text{if} \ x = 100110\\ (1)111100010, & \text{if} \ x = 010100\\ (1)111100100, & \text{if} \ x = 011010\\ (1)11100100, & \text{if} \ x = 011010\\ (1)11100100, & \text{if} \ x = 011010\\ (1)1100010, & \text{if} \ x = 010101\\ (1)1100010, & \text{if} \ x = 010101\\ (1)1100011, & \text{if} \ x = 010101\\ (1)1100011, & \text{if} \ x = 010101\\ (1)1100011, & \text{if} \ x = 000110\\ (0)110001, & \text{if} \ x = 000010\\ (0)10010010, & \text{if} \ x = 000010 \end{split}$	}.

Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes



#### Model 2: Two-Step Encoding Method - Application

Ontion	$f^{(1)}_{\langle 8,8,4\rangle}$		$f^{(2)}_{\langle 4,10\rangle}$			
option	The number of clauses	Runtime	The number of clauses	Runtime		
Null	757	87359.76s	30	1.39s		
-efast	839	98018.76s	32	1.37s		
-eness	757	95035.71s	30	1.46s		
-enirr	757	92729.09s	30	1.4s		
-eonset	757	98.83s	30	0.14s		
-estrong	730	101050.85s	28	1.45s		
-Dexact	-	> 60  days	28	0.16s		

Null: There is no option used in the implementation of ESPRESSO.

- The two-step encoding approach is still highly efficient when the total time is comprised of the simplifications for the two functions. (98.97s Vs 3521.42s)
- The amount of clauses for the two-step method is 787. (787 clauses Vs 820 clauses)



#### Main Observation of Model 3

Q The simplification of a large-scale function is not difficult if the number of clauses in the function is not excessively huge.



## SHANDONG UNIVERSITY

## Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes

#### Main Observation of Model 3

Q The simplification of a large-scale function is not difficult if the number of clauses in the function is not excessively huge.

#### Definition

- Given a set  $\mathcal{X}$ , a family of sets  $\Psi$  is a *partition* of  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if the following conditions are met.
  - $\blacktriangleright$  The family  $\Psi$  does not contain the empty set.
  - $\blacktriangleright~\mathcal{X}$  is equal to the union of the sets contained in  $\Psi$  .
  - $\blacktriangleright$  In  $\Psi,$  the intersection of any two different sets is empty set.

## SHANDONG UNIVERSITY

## Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes

#### Main Observation of Model 3

Q The simplification of a large-scale function is not difficult if the number of clauses in the function is not excessively huge.

#### Definition

Given a set  $\mathcal{X}$ , a family of sets  $\Psi$  is a *partition* of  $\mathcal{X}$  if and only if the following conditions are met.

- $\blacktriangleright$  The family  $\Psi$  does not contain the empty set.
- $\blacktriangleright~\mathcal{X}$  is equal to the union of the sets contained in  $\Psi$  .
- $\blacktriangleright$  In  $\Psi,$  the intersection of any two different sets is empty set.

Model 3: Simplifying by Partition and Iteration

$$f(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bigwedge_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \overline{\mathrm{supp}(f)}} M_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \bigwedge_{\boldsymbol{u} \in \psi_i} M_{\boldsymbol{u}}(\boldsymbol{x}) = \bigwedge_{i=0}^{\ell-1} f_i(\boldsymbol{x}).$$

•  $\Psi = \{\psi_0, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{\ell-1}\}$  is a partition of the set  $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(f)}$ .

If a simplification  $\tilde{f}_i$  can be found for each  $f_i$ , then  $\bigwedge^{\iota-1} \tilde{f}_i$  yields a simplified form of f.

# SHANDONG UNVERSITY

#### **General Method of Partition**

- **uine-McCluskey technique:** grouping clauses by the Hamming weight.
- The simplification could be simpler if the clauses in a given set share as many bit values as possible.



#### **General Method of Partition**

- 📫 Quine-McCluskey technique: grouping clauses by the Hamming weight.
- The simplification could be simpler if the clauses in a given set share as many bit values as possible.
- A partition of the set  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ .
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{F}_2^n[s| \mathring{x}], \text{ where } 0 < s \leqslant n \text{ and } \mathring{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^s.$



▶ The family of sets  $\Psi_{\langle s \rangle}^n = \left\{ \mathbb{F}_2^n[s|\mathring{x}] \mid \mathring{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^s \right\}$  constitutes a partition of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  with  $2^s$  sets.



#### **General Method of Partition**

- 📫 Quine-McCluskey technique: grouping clauses by the Hamming weight.
- The simplification could be simpler if the clauses in a given set share as many bit values as possible.
- A partition of the set  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ .
  - $\blacktriangleright \ \mathbb{F}_2^n[s| \mathring{x}], \text{ where } 0 < s \leqslant n \text{ and } \mathring{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^s.$



▶ The family of sets  $\Psi_{\langle s \rangle}^n = \left\{ \mathbb{F}_2^n[s|\mathring{x}] \mid \mathring{x} \in \mathbb{F}_2^s \right\}$  constitutes a partition of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  with  $2^s$  sets.

• The partition  $\Psi_{\langle s \rangle}^n$  restricted on the set  $\Psi_{\langle s \rangle}^n \cap \overline{\operatorname{supp}(f)}$  turns into a partition of  $\overline{\operatorname{supp}(f)}$ .

#### **Iterative Simplification Method**

- The partition  $\Psi_{(s)}^n|_f$  permits the decomposition of the function f into  $2^s$  sub-functions.
- The number of clauses in the simplified form with one time of simplification is typically quite high.



#### **Iterative Simplification Method**

- The partition  $\Psi_{\langle s \rangle}^n |_f$  permits the decomposition of the function f into  $2^s$  sub-functions.
- The number of clauses in the simplified form with one time of simplification is typically quite high.



It can be imaged that the level of simplification of the final output and the runtime are affected by the number of components  $2^s$  in the initial partition  $\Psi_{\langle s \rangle}^n |_f$ .



#### Model 3: Simplifying by Partition and Iteration - Application

Iterative simplification for the 26-bit Boolean function regarding  $S_8$  of SKINNY-128.







## Outline

- Motivation & Contributions.
- MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes.
- SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes.
- Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes.
- New Findings with the New SAT Models.
- Conclusion.



## Tight Probability Bound for 14 Rounds of SKINNY-128



#### Previous Results [Beierle et al. @ CRYPTO 2016, Abdelkhalek et al. @ FSE 2018]

- The designers of SKINNY-128 gave only lower bounds for the number of differential active S-boxes.
- Abdelkhalek et al. attempted to get tight upper bounds for the probability with MILP model.
  - ▶ The task was completed up to 13 rounds, and the search on 13 rounds took 16 days.
  - ▶ For 14-round, they merely demonstrated that no characteristic had a probability greater than 2<sup>-128</sup>.



## Tight Probability Bound for 14 Rounds of SKINNY-128



#### Previous Results [Beierle et al. @ CRYPTO 2016, Abdelkhalek et al. @ FSE 2018]

- The designers of SKINNY-128 gave only lower bounds for the number of differential active S-boxes.
- Abdelkhalek et al. attempted to get tight upper bounds for the probability with MILP model.
  - ▶ The task was completed up to 13 rounds, and the search on 13 rounds took 16 days.
  - ▶ For 14-round, they merely demonstrated that no characteristic had a probability greater than 2<sup>-128</sup>.

#### New Finding for SKINNY-128

Round	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$-\log_2(p)$	2	4	10	16	24	32	52
Round	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$-\log_2(p)$	72	86	96	104	112	123	131

Ling Sun and Meiqin Wang (Shandong University)

2023.03

## Application to AES-Based Constructions

### **AES-Based Constructions**

- [Jean and Nikolić @ FSE 2016] suggested seven AES-based constructions.
- These constructions can be utilised as building blocks for MAC and AE.
- The security is determined by the number of active S-boxes required to create an internal collision.





### Application to AES-Based Constructions

#### New Findings for AES-Based Constructions

C1		C2		C3 C4		C5		C6		C7		Rof		
$n_s$	#S	$n_s$	#S	- IXel.										
-	22	-	25	-	34	-	25	-	22	-	23	-	25	FSE 2016
3-7	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	4-7	24	-	-	-	-	FSE 2018
2	×	2	×	2	×	2	×	2	×	2	×	2	48	
3	22	3	50	3	47	3	33	3	40	3	48	3	48	-
4	22	4	25	4	47	4	25	4	25	4	> 41	4	> 37	
5	22	5	25	5	36	5	25	5	25	5	23	5	28	Our results
6	22	6	25	6	36	6	25	6	25	6	23	6	28	
7	22	7	25	7	36	7	25	7	25	7	23	7	> 24	
8	22	8	25	8	36	8	25	8	25	8	23	8	> 24	

 $n_s$ : The number of step functions. #S: The number of active S-boxes. -: No information is provided.

 $\mathbf{X}$ : There is no differential characteristic with the specified number of step functions.



## Outline

- Motivation & Contributions.
- MILP Modeling Progress for Large S-boxes.
- SAT/SMT Modeling Progress for S-boxes.
- Fast SAT Models for Large S-boxes.
- New Findings with the New SAT Models.
- Conclusion.





#### Contribution

- Three strategies to create SAT models for large S-boxes are proposed.
  - ① Utilising the option of the ESPRESSO logic minimizer.
  - <sup>②</sup> Dividing the description of a big S-box into two steps.
  - <sup>3</sup> Simplifying by partitioning method.
- Upper bound on the differential probability for 14 rounds of SKINNY-128 is determined.
- Related-key differential properties of both versions of PIPO are investigated.
- Seven AES-based constructions C1 C7 devised by Jean and Nikolić are analysed.

## Thank you for your attention!

Thank the anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions to improve the quality of the paper.

SoK: Modeling for Large S-boxes